PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Joseph Cotey
DOCKET NO.: 05-26891.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 18-16-212-031

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (hereinafter PTAB) are Joseph Cotey, the appellant, by Attorney Joel R. Monarch in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of 7,540 square foot parcel improved with a 39-year old, one-story, frame, single-family dwelling. The improvement contains 1,683 square feet of living area as well as a full basement, central air conditioning, two full baths, one fireplace, and a two-car garage.

At hearing, the appellant argued that there was unequal treatment in the assessment process of the improvement as the basis of this appeal. Further, the parties jointly requested that the PTAB render a decision in this matter based upon the written evidentiary submissions.

The appellant's pleadings included data and descriptions of four suggested comparables located within the subject's neighborhood. The appellant also submitted black and white photographs and assessor database printouts for the subject's improvement and the suggest comparables. These properties are improved with a onestory, masonry or frame and masonry, single-family dwelling located on the same block as is the subject. They range: in baths from one full and one half-bath to two full baths; in age from 38 to 39 years; in size from 1,521 to 1,794 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$15.28 to \$17.39 per square foot. Amenities include central air conditioning and a two-car garage, while three properties also have a partial basement. On the basis of this comparison, the appellant's attorney requested an assessment reduction.

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds $\underline{no\ change}$ in the assessment of the property as established by the \underline{COOK} County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 6,032 IMPR.: \$ 28,745 TOTAL: \$ 34,777

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/KPP

The board of review submitted "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the board's final assessment decision was presented reflecting an improvement assessment of \$28,745 or \$17.08 per square foot. The board of review also submitted copies of property characteristic printouts for the subject and three suggested comparables. The properties contain a one-story, frame and masonry, single-family dwelling with one bathroom. They range in age from 38 to 39 years and in size from 1,341 to 1,488 square feet of living area. Amenities include a full basement, central air conditioning, and a two-car garage. The improvement assessments range from \$18.16 to \$19.11 per square foot. In addition, the board submitted copies of its file from the board of review's level appeal. As a result of its analysis, the board requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. The PTAB finds that the appellant has not met this burden and that a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

In totality, the parties submitted seven equity comparables all of which reflect improvement assessments below the subject property's and support a reduction in the subject's assessment. However, the PTAB finds that the appellant's comparables are most similar to the subject property. These four comparables contain a one-story, masonry or frame and masonry, single-family dwelling. They range: in baths from one full and one half-bath to two full baths; in age from 38 to 39 years; in size from 1,521 to 1,794 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$15.28 to \$17.39 per square foot. In comparison, the subject's 1,683 square foot improvement contains an assessment at \$17.08 per square foot of living area, which is within the range established by these comparables.

The PTAB further finds that the board's properties are accorded diminished weight due to a disparity in improvement size and amenities.

On the basis of the evidence submitted, the PTAB finds that the evidence has not demonstrated that the subject's improvement is assessed in excess of that which equity dictates. Therefore, the

PTAB finds that a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law $(735 \, \text{ILCS} \, 5/3-101 \, \text{et seq.})$ and section $16-195 \, \text{of}$ the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

<u>CERTIFICATION</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 27, 2008

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A $\underline{\text{PETITION}}$ AND $\underline{\text{EVIDENCE}}$ WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.